P/M: 6/28/21

Form 23

COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION TWO OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON



| | 2021 JUN 30 PM 2: 28 |
|---|---|
| STATE OF WASHINGTON) | STATE OF WASHINGTON |
| Respondent,) | No. 54787-2841 AP |
| v.) Dohaid kingsie*) (your name)) | STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL GROUNDS FOR REVIEW |
| Appellant. | |
| attorney. Summarized below are the additional g | ved and reviewed the opening brief prepared by my grounds for review that are not addressed in that brief. I of Additional Grounds for Review when my appeal is |
| Additi | onal Ground 1 |
| Informant did more Than I affirmant ively sected to e | ngt listen and report but holder statements by means of |
| | - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 |
| | |
| | |
| Addition | onal Ground 2 |
| Father and alleged Victi to say during Pretrial/hear for Withess. know father todaughte | M's had been Practicing what say hearthy. Prosecutor venches rehersed |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| If there are additional grounds, a brief summary i | s attached to this statement. |
| | |
| Date: 6/27/2/ | Signature: 29mble Demography |

COURT OF APPEALS DIV. II OF THE STATE OFWASHINGTON STATE OF WASH.
Respondent NO. 54787-2-II 5AG RAP 10.10 Donald Kings by Appellant Under state and federal law, an informant is only supposed to listen and report what is being said. In this case, the so called informant went further by pressing me aggressively to seek to induce to incriminating statements. white 2) The alleged victim and her father had practiced what to testify to which had come to light during pretrial hearings. See RP.117; 118; 137; 138; 141. Further, the prosecutor became a witness for purposes of trial when she personally vouched for the alleged victim's statements. 3) The prosecutor was aware of the father and daughter alleged victim, & rehersing trial testimony but failed to do anything about it. Defense coursel did object to this, preserving the right to challenge this on direct appeal. The second secon